

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
STATESBORO DIVISION

TYMESHA HAMILTON,)	
)	
Movant,)	
)	
v.)	Case No. CV612-051
)	CR610-026
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Respondent.)	

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Nabbed as the getaway driver for a bank robbery, Tymesha Hamilton pled guilty to one count of armed bank robbery in exchange for the government's dismissal of another count. As part of that bargain, she waived her direct and collateral appeal rights. CR610-026, docs. 1, 83, 98, 99. Consistent with the appeal waiver, she took no appeal, but moved for collateral (28 U.S.C. § 2255) relief, doc. 100, which this Court denied on the merits (it held her to her waiver). Doc. 113, *reported at* 2012 WL 5931677 (S.D. Ga., Nov. 26, 2012), *adopted*, 2012 WL 6644283 (S.D. Ga., Dec. 20, 2012). Years later, she again moves for § 2255 relief, this time seeking to exploit the new rule announced in *Johnson v. United States*, ___ U.S. ___, 135 S. Ct. 2551 (2015), made retroactive by *Welch v.*

United States, ___ U.S. ___, 136 S. Ct. 1257 (2016), and triggering a wave of successive § 2255 filings. See *In re Fleur*, ___ F.3d ___, 2016 WL 3190539 (11th Cir. June 8, 2016), *In re Hines*, ___ F.3d ___, 2016 WL 3189822 (11th Cir. June 8, 2016), and *In re Ricardo Pinder, Jr.*, ___ F.3d ___, 2016 WL 3081954 at * 1 (11th Cir. June 1, 2016). See doc. 115 at 5 (arguing to vacate her sentence enhancement *per Johnson*).

Some of those successive-writ movants have succeeded in knocking on the appellate court's door. See, e.g., *In re Hubbard*, ___ F.3d ___, 2016 WL 3181417 at *7 (June 8, 2016) ("Because application of *Johnson* to § 16(b) as incorporated into the Sentencing Guidelines might render the career-offender residual clause that was applicable at the time Hubbard was sentenced unconstitutional, and because the rule in *Johnson* is substantive with respect to its application to the Sentencing Guidelines and therefore applies retroactively, this Court grants Hubbard's request for authorization to file a successive § 2255 motion.").

Hamilton, too, must knock on the Eleventh Circuit's door. See *In re Williams*, ___ F.3d ___, 2016 WL 3460899 (11th Cir. June 24, 2016); *In re Colon*, No., ___ F.3d ___, 2016 WL 3461009 (11th Cir. June 24, 2016); *In re Robinson*, ___ F.3d ___, 2016 WL 1583616 (11th Cir. Apr. 19, 2016).

Given the time constraints illuminated by the *Robinson* concurrence, 2016 WL 1583616 at * 2 (“As best I can tell, all the prisoners we turned away may only have until June 26, 2016, to refile applications based on *Johnson*.”), the Clerk should be **DIRECTED** to simply transfer her June 23, 2016 signature-filed § 2255 motion (doc. 115 at 6) directly to that court for *nunc pro tunc* filing.¹ Upon such transfer, the Probation Office shall file any Presentence Investigation Report under seal.

SO REPORTED AND RECOMMENDED, this 29th day of June, 2016.


UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

¹ Statutory authority exists for this:

Whenever a civil action is filed in a court as defined in section 610 of this title or an appeal, including a petition for review of administrative action, is noticed for or filed with such a court and that court finds that there is a want of jurisdiction, the court shall, if it is in the interest of justice, transfer such action or appeal to any other such court in which the action or appeal could have been brought at the time it was filed or noticed, and the action or appeal shall proceed as if it had been filed in or noticed for the court to which it is transferred on the date upon which it was actually filed in or noticed for the court from which it is transferred.

28 U.S.C. § 1631, quoted in *Wainwright v. United States*, 2016 WL 3452551 at * 2 n. 3 (S.D. Fla., June 24, 2016); *see also id.* at * 2 n. 2 (“In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1631, the Clerk shall TRANSFER this action to the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit. This Court respectfully requests that the Eleventh Circuit treat Movant's motion as an application for leave to file a second or successive § 2255 motion.”).